WKO DLP Doc # 17 Filed: 04/02/07 Page 1 of 1 - Page ID # 89 A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY MAR 1 9 2007

OR THE JUDICIAL PANEL OF

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAR - 1 2007

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

CLEVELAND

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE ORTHO EVRA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Trista L. Pierce v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., D. Nebraska, C.A. No. 8:07-73

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-32)

On March 1, 2006, the Panel transferred 12 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 422 F.Supp.2d 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2006). Since that time, 432 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David A. Katz.

It appears that the action on this conditional transfer order involves questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Katz.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), this action is transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of March 1, 2006, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David A. Katz.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

N. Lüthi

lerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that this instrument is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest: Geri M. Smith, Clerk **U.S. District Court**

Northern District of Ohio

Surliuster

inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted. MAR 1 9 2007 CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION